# SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S204665

CULLENS (BRIAN GARY) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S204873

SILVA (RICHARD WILLIAM) ON H.C.

Petition stricken (case closed)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus filed August 20, 2012, in the above-entitled matter is hereby stricken as it is a duplicate petition of a matter currently pending before this court in case no. S204797, *In re Richard William Silva on Habeas Corpus*.

S204898

RIVERA (ABEL) ON H.C.

Petition stricken (case closed)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus filed August 23, 2012, submitted on your behalf in the above-entitled matter is hereby stricken. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6125, "No person shall practice law in California unless the person is an active member of the State Bar."

S203517 B226776 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (DAMION)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 12, 2012.

S204032 B235158 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ISKANIAN (ARSHAVIR) v.

CLS TRANSPORTATION OF
LOS ANGELES LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 12, 2012.

S204060 A131694 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. HALVERSON (JOHN CHARLES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 18, 2012.

S204140 B240139 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 NETTIS (JENNIFER) v. S.C. (NATIONAL STORES, INC.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 17, 2012.

S025520

PEOPLE v. WALDON (BILLY RAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to October 25, 2012. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S052210

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ, JR., (JERRY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the reply brief is granted to November 5, 2012. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 220 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S058734

PEOPLE v. HOLMES (KARL DARNELL), McCLAIN (HERBERT CHARLES) & NEWBORN (LORENZO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Herbert McClain's reply brief by December 13, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 6, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted.

# BENAVIDES FIGUEROA (VICENTE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Cristina Bordé's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 5, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 25 additional days is contemplated.

S114228

PEOPLE v. DUONG (ANH THE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 30, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 16, 2012. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 220 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S122123

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ANGELINA)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Joseph P. Lee's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by November 3, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 5, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127119

PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 5, 2012.

PEOPLE v. DWORAK (DOUGLAS EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Diane Nichols's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 1, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 5, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S146528

PEOPLE v. SNYDER (JANEEN MARIE) & THORNTON (MICHAEL FORREST)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Janeen Marie Snyder and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 30, 2012.

S149039

PEOPLE v. AGUAYO (JOSEPH MORENO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 5, 2012.

S152156

PEOPLE v. KARIS, JR., (JAMES LESLIE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 5, 2012.

S173896

LINDBERG (GUNNER JAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robin Urbanski's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 7, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 6, 2012. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

CARRASCO (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert R. Bryan's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 10, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 29, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 42 additional days is contemplated.

S194146

LAW (RONNIE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the supplemental informal response is extended to October 3, 2012.

S199915

CONTRERAS (JORGE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Christina Hitomi Simpson's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 28, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 5, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 53 additional days is contemplated.

S204529

HAJEK (STEPHEN EDWARD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Moona Nandi's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 24, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 5, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 170 additional days are contemplated.

# LINDBERG (GUNNER JAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robin Urbanski's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 7, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 6, 2012. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S086578

PEOPLE v. LOOT (KENDRICK) & MILLSAP (BRUCE)

Order filed

Appellant Kendrick Loot's application for relief from default to file appellant's opening brief is granted.

#### S202921

# PEOPLE v. LE (ERIC HUNG)

Upon request of appellant Down George Yang for appointment of counsel, Arthur B. Martin is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court. Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

# S202921

# PEOPLE v. LE (ERIC HUNG)

Upon request of appellant Eric Hung Le for appointment of counsel, Laura P. Gordon is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

#### S204911

LICCIARDI (ROBERT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

#### SAN JOSE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that VIVIAN C. SAN JOSE, State Bar Number 222909, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. VIVIAN C. SAN JOSE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation, and she will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. She makes restitution to the following payees (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
    - (1) Maria Nieto in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 26, 2010;
    - (2) Maria Nieto in the amount of \$1,800 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 31, 2010;
    - (3) Isaias and Teresa Sepulveda in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 19, 2010;
    - (4) Maribel Jimenez in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 6, 2010;
    - (5) Maribel Jimenez in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 20, 2010;
    - (6) Maudie Molleda in the amount of \$250 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 10, 2010;
    - (7) Maudie Molleda in the amount of \$1,600 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 3, 2010;
    - (8) Maudie Molleda in the amount of \$1,650 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 3, 2010;
    - (9) Wilber Cornejo in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 16, 2010;
    - (10) Wilber Cornejo in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 16, 2010;
    - (11) Mirtha Godoy in the amount of \$750 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 29, 2010;
    - (12) Sonia Torres in the amount of \$1,200 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 5, 2010;
    - (13) Sonia Torres in the amount of \$892.50 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 20, 2010;
    - (14) Sonia Torres in the amount of \$892.50 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 5, 2010:
    - Lupe Reynoso in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 27, 2010;
    - (16) Lupe Reynoso in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from

- August 3, 2010;
- (17) Lupe Reynoso in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 10, 2010;

1580

- (18) Thomas Carranco in the amount of \$1,800 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 15, 2010;
- (19) Thomas Carranco in the amount of \$1,700 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 30, 2010;
- (20) Thomas Carranco in the amount of \$3,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 30, 2010;
- Juan Rojas in the amount of \$1,333 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 9, 2011;
- Juan Rojas in the amount of \$1,333 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 5, 2011;
- Juan Rojas in the amount of \$1,333 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 6, 2011;
- (24) Maria Huitron in the amount of \$4,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 5, 2011;
- (25) Maria Huitron in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 10, 2011;
- (26) Maria Huitron in the amount of \$1,495 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 20, 2011;
- (27) Ramon and Cristina Zavala in the amount of \$3,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 17, 2010;
- Jose F. Lopez in the amount of \$200 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 20, 2011;
- Jose F. Lopez in the amount of \$1,033 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 22, 2011;
- (30) Jose F. Lopez in the amount of \$1,333 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 16, 2011;
- (31) Jose F. Lopez in the amount of \$1,333 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 20, 2011;
- (32) Pedro and Valentin Palacios in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 18, 2010;
- (33) Pedro and Valentin Palacios in the amount of \$1,485 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 10, 2010;
- (34) Pedro and Valentin Palacios in the amount of \$195 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 9, 2011;
- (35) Martin Pena in the amount of \$2,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 1, 2011;
- (36) Martin Pena in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 24, 2011;
- (37) Pedro and Socorro Cruz in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 26, 2011;

- (38) Victor and Maria Lira in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 18, 2011;
- (39) Victor and Maria Lira in the amount of \$1,359 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 3, 2011;
- (40) Victor and Maria Lira in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 8, 2011;
- (41) Ana Magana in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 26, 2011;
- (42) Israel Calderon in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 22, 2010;
- (43) Israel Calderon in the amount of \$2,400 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 22, 2010;
- (44) Israel Calderon in the amount of \$1,600 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 22, 2010;
- Eugenio Rios in the amount of \$6,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 6, 2010;
- (46) Maria Barraza in the amount of \$1,995 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 23, 2010;
- (47) Maria Barraza in the amount of \$1,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 23, 2010;
- (48) Maria Barraza in the amount of \$299 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 15, 2011;
- (49) Luz Padilla in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 29, 2011;
- (50) Luz Padilla in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 15, 2011;
- (51) Luz Padilla in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 5, 2011;
- (52) Ericka Gutierrez in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 16, 2011;
- (53) Anna Maria Moreno in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 11, 2011;
- (54) Anna Maria Moreno in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 20, 2011;
- (55) Anna Maria Moreno in the amount of \$600 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 28, 2011;
- (56) Anna Maria Moreno in the amount of \$339 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 30, 2011;
- Jose Lopez in the amount of \$100 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 29, 2011;
- Jose Lopez in the amount of \$1,700 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 29, 2011;
- (59) Jose Barrera in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from

- May 13, 2011;
- Jose Barrera in the amount of \$1,039 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 6, 2011;
- Oscar Alvarez in the amount of \$800 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 7, 2011;
- Oscar Alvarez in the amount of \$575 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 7, 2011;
- Oscar Alvarez in the amount of \$675 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 7, 2011;
- (64) Angel Nanez in the amount of \$100 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 22, 2011;
- (65) Angel Nanez in the amount of \$1,900 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 29, 2011;
- (66) Angel Nanez in the amount of \$360 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 8, 2011;
- (67) Elizabeth Santibanez in the amount of \$200 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 18, 2011;
- (68) Elizabeth Santibanez in the amount of \$600 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 23, 2011;
- (69) Elizabeth Santibanez in the amount of \$600 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 26, 2011; and
- (70) Elizabeth Santibanez in the amount of \$359 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 29, 2011.
- ii. She provides proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. VIVIAN C. SAN JOSE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 22, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if VIVIAN C. SAN JOSE has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

VIVIAN C. SAN JOSE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

VIVIAN C. SAN JOSE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-fourth of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for

each of the years 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016. If VIVIAN C. SAN JOSE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S203834

# STEIGERWALT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KERRY LEE STEIGERWALT, State Bar Number 116264, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. KERRY LEE STEIGERWALT is suspended from the practice of law for the first one year of probation;
- 2. KERRY LEE STEIGERWALT must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 18, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KERRY LEE STEIGERWALT has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KERRY LEE STEIGERWALT must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

KERRY LEE STEIGERWALT must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013, 2014, and 2015. If KERRY LEE STEIGERWALT fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### TOWNLEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KATHERINE MELISSA TOWNLEY, State Bar Number 226566, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. KATHERINE MELISSA TOWNLEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. KATHERINE MELISSA TOWNLEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 14, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KATHERINE MELISSA TOWNLEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KATHERINE MELISSA TOWNLEY y must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S203840

# JANSEZIAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that NAZARETH VAHAN JANSEZIAN, State Bar Number 193159, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. NAZARETH VAHAN JANSEZIAN is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 120 days of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. He makes restitution to the following payees (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
    - (1) Gary P. Jacobs in the amount of \$1,165 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 14, 2006;
    - (2) Gary P. Jacobs in the amount of \$855 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 22, 2006;
    - (3) Jilbert Tahmazian in the amount of \$1,240 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 22, 2006; and
    - (4) Jilbert Tahmazian in the amount of \$1,340 plus 10 percent interest per year from

December 22, 2006.

- ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. NAZARETH VAHAN JANSEZIAN must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 23, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if NAZARETH VAHAN JANSEZIAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

NAZARETH VAHAN JANSEZIAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

NAZARETH VAHAN JANSEZIAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S203843

# KHOSHBIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that VAFA ALLAN KHOSHBIN, State Bar Number 165486, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

VAFA ALLAN KHOSHBIN must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Benjamin Cohen in the amount of \$41,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 6, 2010:
- (2) Tim Schneider in the amount of \$17,800 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 18, 2010;
- (3) Iraj and Farideh Nouri in the amount of \$125,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 9, 2011;
- (4) Shahrooz and Fariba Arianpour in the amount of \$357,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 11, 2011;
- (5) Mohammed Hedayati in the amount of \$4,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 30, 2010;
- (6) Faramarz Dormanesh in the amount of \$29,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 10, 2010;

- (7) Mohsen and Mahnaz Azimzadeh in the amount of \$20,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 12, 2010;
- (8) David Yashar in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 15, 2010;
- (9) Cliff Lachman in the amount of \$175,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 16, 2011;
- (10) Ann York in the amount of \$3,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 16, 2010:
- (11) Noushin Rezai in the amount of \$9,750 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 22, 2011;
- (12) Adam Askari in the amount of \$154,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 20, 2010:
- (13) Abraham Aghachi in the amount of \$136,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 12, 2011; and
- (14) Mohsen Mir in the amount of \$153,750 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 1, 2009.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

VAFA ALLAN KHOSHBIN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S203847

# **LUND ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PATRICK LEE LUND, State Bar Number 86371, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. PATRICK LEE LUND must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 24, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PATRICK LEE LUND has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

PATRICK LEE LUND must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013 and 2014. If PATRICK LEE LUND fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

# S203849

# HAYS, JR., ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM THOMAS HAYS, JR., State Bar Number 135264, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. WILLIAM THOMAS HAYS, JR., must make restitution to Kory Lee Laird in the amounts of:

- (1) interest only in the amount of 10% per annum calculated from February 21, 2003, to July 23, 2007, on a principal sum of \$100,000;
- (2) interest only in the amount of 10% per annum calculated from July 24, 2007, to September 21, 2007, on a principal sum of \$40,000; and
- (3) the principal amount of \$37,500 plus 10% interest per annum calculated from September 22, 2007.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

WILLIAM THOMAS HAYS, JR., must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Thursday, September 6, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu.

Officers present: Frank A. McGuire, Clerk, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S186707 Hope DiCampli-Mintz, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

County of Santa Clara et al., Defendants and Respondents.

[To be called and continued to the October 2012 calendar]

S044592 The People, Respondent,

v.

Steven Homick, Appellant.

Cause called. Mark E. Cutler, Court-appointed Counsel,

argued for Appellant.

Victoria B. Wilson, Office of the Attorney General, argued for

Respondent.

Mr. Cutler replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.